

y

x = -1

 $\phi = 1$

 $\phi = -1$

 $y = \pi$

 $y = -\pi$

 $\phi(x,y)$

-x

Equipotential contours

1

Ē

Fall 2023

David R. Jackson

Notes 5

Conformal Mapping

Notes are adapted from D. R. Wilton, Dept. of ECE

Conformal Mapping

This is a method for solving 2D problems involving Laplace's equation.

$$\nabla^2 \phi(x, y) = 0$$

 $\phi(x, y) = \text{constant on } C$ (Dirichlet boundary condition)

or

$$\frac{\partial \phi(x, y)}{\partial n} = 0 \text{ on } C \text{ (Neumann boundary condition)}$$

J. W. Brown and R. V. Churchill, *Complex Variables and Applications*, 9th Ed., McGraw-Hill, 2013.



(We simply take ψ and map it back to the *z* plane.)

The key to being successful with the method of conformal mapping is to find a mapping that works for your problem (i.e., it maps your problem into one the is simple enough for you to solve).

J. W. Brown and R. V. Churchill, Complex Variables and Applications, 9th Ed., McGraw-Hill, 2013.

> An appendix has many basic conformal mappings.

H. Kober, Dictionary of Conformal Representations, Admiralty, Mathematical and Statistical Section, Dept. of Physical Research, 1945.

 \succ A very thorough compilation of conformal mappings.

Theorem:

If $\psi(u,v)$ satisfies the Laplace equation in the (u,v) plane, then $\phi(x,y)$ satisfies the Laplace equation in the (x,y) plane.

$$\phi(x,y) = \psi(u(x,y),v(x,y))$$

Proof:

Assume that

$$\nabla^2 \psi(u,v) = 0$$

or
$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial u^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial v^2} = 0$$

We want to prove that

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y^2} = 0$$

$$\phi(x, y) = \psi(u(x, y), v(x, y))$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial u} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial v} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$$

(partial derivative chain rule)

Using the product rule and the partial derivative chain rule:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} = \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial u} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial u} \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial v} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial v} \right) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right)$$
$$= \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial u} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial u^2} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial v \partial u} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) \right) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)$$
$$+ \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial v} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial u \partial v} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial v^2} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) \right) \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right)$$

Note:
$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial v \partial v} = \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial u \partial v}$$

$$\phi(x, y) = \psi(u(x, y), v(x, y))$$
$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial u} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial v} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$$

Using the chain rule:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y^2} = \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial u} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial u} \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial v} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial v} \right) \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right)$$
$$= \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial u} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial u^2} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial v \partial u} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) \right) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)$$
$$+ \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial v} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} + \left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial u \partial v} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial v^2} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) \right) \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right)$$

Note:
$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial v \partial v} = \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial u \partial v}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y^2} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial u} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial u^2} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial v} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial v^2} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)^2 + 2\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial u \partial v} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right) \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)$$
$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial u} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial u^2} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)^2 + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial v} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial v^2} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}\right)^2 + 2\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial u \partial v} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right) \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}\right)$$

(The new color coding here shows how to combine terms.)

Use Cauchy-Riemann equations (red, blue, and black terms):

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \qquad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$$

 ψ satisfies Laplace's equation.

$$\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial x^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial y^{2}} = \left(\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial u^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial v^{2}}\right) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)^{2} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial u} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial u} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}} + \left(\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial u^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial v^{2}}\right) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)^{2} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial v} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x^{2}} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial v} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial y^{2}} + 2\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial u \partial v} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right) \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) + 2\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial u \partial v} \left(-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right) = \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial u \partial v} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right) = \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial u \partial v} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right) = \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial u \partial v} \left(-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right) = \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial u \partial v} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right) = \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial u \partial v} \left(-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right) = \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial u \partial v} \left(-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right) = \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial u \partial v} \left(-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right) = \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial u \partial v} \left(-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right) = \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial u \partial v} \left(-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right) = \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial u \partial v} \left(-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right) = \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial u \partial v} \left(-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right) = \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial u \partial v} \left(-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) \left(-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right) = \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial u \partial v} \left(-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) \left(-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) = \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial u \partial v} \left(-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) \left(-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) = \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial v} \left(-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) \left(-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) = \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial v} \left(-\frac{\partial v}{\partial v}\right) = \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial v} \left(-\frac{\partial$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y^2} = \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}\right) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial u} + \left(\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2}\right) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial v}$$

Recall that for any analytic function f

 $\nabla^2 u(x, y) = 0$

 $\nabla^2 v(x, y) = 0$

Hence, we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y^2} = 0$$

(proof complete)

Example

Illustrates :
$$\nabla^2 \psi(u,v) = 0 \implies \nabla^2 \phi(x,y) = 0$$
, assuming $w = f(z)$ is analytic

Given:
$$\begin{cases} \psi(u,v) = u & \text{(This } \psi \text{ satisfies Laplace's equation.)} \\ w = f(z) = z^2 & \text{(This is an analytic mapping function.)} \end{cases}$$

Verify $\phi(x,y)$ satisfies Laplace's equation.

$$\phi(x, y) = \psi(u(x, y), v(x, y)) = u(x, y)$$

$$w = u + iv = (x + iy)^{2}$$
Hence:

$$u = x^{2} - y^{2}$$

$$\psi(x, y) = x^{2} - y^{2}$$

$$\phi(x, y) = x^{2} - y^{2}$$

The function ϕ satisfies Laplace's equation.

Example

Illustrates :
$$\nabla^2 \psi(u, v) = 0 \implies \nabla^2 \phi(x, y) = 0$$
, assuming $w = f(z)$ is analytic

Given: $\begin{cases} \psi(u,v) = u^2 - v^2 \text{ (This satisfies Laplace's equation.)} \\ w = f(z) = e^z \text{ (This is an analytic mapping function.)} \end{cases}$

Verify $\phi(x,y)$ satisfies Laplace's equation.

$$\phi(x, y) = \psi(u(x, y), v(x, y)) = u^{2}(x, y) - v^{2}(x, y)$$

$$w = u + iv = e^{x + iy} = e^{x}(\cos y + i \sin y)$$
Hence:
$$u = e^{x} \cos y$$

$$v = e^{x} \sin y$$

$$\phi(x, y) = e^{2x} \cos^{2} y - e^{2x} \sin^{2} y = e^{2x} \cos(2y)$$

The function ϕ satisfies Laplace's equation.

Theorem:

If $\psi(u,v)$ satisfies Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions in the (u,v) plane, then $\phi(x,y)$ satisfies the same boundary conditions in the (x,y) plane.



$$\phi(x,y) = \psi(u(x,y),v(x,y))$$



Because of the angle-preserving (conformal) property of analytic functions, we have:

$$\gamma = \pi / 2 \implies \underline{p} \propto \underline{\hat{n}}_C$$

$$\implies \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n_C} = 0 \text{ on } C$$

Relation Between Charge Densities in the Two Planes

$$\rho_{sz} = \underline{D} \cdot \underline{\hat{n}}_{C} = \varepsilon \underline{E} \cdot \underline{\hat{n}}_{C} = -\varepsilon \nabla \phi \cdot \underline{\hat{n}}_{C} = -\varepsilon \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n_{C}}$$
$$\rho_{sw} = \underline{D} \cdot \underline{\hat{n}}_{\Gamma} = \varepsilon \underline{E} \cdot \underline{\hat{n}}_{\Gamma} = -\varepsilon \nabla \psi \cdot \underline{\hat{n}}_{\Gamma} = -\varepsilon \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n_{\Gamma}}$$

$$\frac{\rho_{sz}}{\rho_{sw}} = \frac{\partial n_{\Gamma}}{\partial n_{C}} \qquad \text{Note: } \partial \phi = \partial \psi$$



From the last slide:

$$\frac{\rho_{sz}}{\rho_{sw}} = \frac{\partial n_{\Gamma}}{\partial n_{C}}$$

Note:

$$w = f(z)$$

$$dw = f'(z)dz$$

$$|dw| = |f'(z)||dz|$$

$$\partial n_{\Gamma} = |f'(z)|\partial n_{C}$$

Hence, we have

$$\frac{\rho_{sz}}{\rho_{sw}} = \left| f'(z) \right| \qquad z \in C$$

Relation Between Capacitance in the Two Planes

The capacitance (per unit length) between two conductive objects remains unchanged between the *z* and *w* planes.

Proof:

$$C_{z} \equiv \frac{Q_{A}^{(z)}}{V_{AB}} \qquad C_{w} \equiv \frac{Q_{A}^{(w)}}{V_{AB}}$$

 $V_{AB} \equiv \Phi_A - \Phi_B$ (same voltage drop in both planes)





Relation Between Electric Field in the Two Planes



dz = small displacement along flux line

dw = corresponding small displacement along flux line

 $dw \approx f'(z) dz$

Relation Between Electric Field in the Two Planes (cont.)

$$\underline{E}^{(z)}(x,y) = -\nabla\phi(x,y)$$

$$\left|\underline{E}^{(z)}(x,y)\right| = \left|\nabla\phi(x,y)\right| = \frac{\left|d\phi\right|}{\left|dz\right|}$$

when dz is in direction of $\nabla \phi$ (electric field in *z* plane)

$$\underline{E}^{(w)}(u,v) = -\nabla \psi(u,v)$$

$$\left|\underline{E}^{(w)}(u,v)\right| = \left|\nabla\psi(u,v)\right| = \frac{\left|d\psi\right|}{\left|dw\right|}$$

when dw is in direction of $\nabla \psi$ (electric field in *w* plane)

Note: The magnitude of the gradient gives us the rate of change of a function when we march in the direction of the gradient.

Hence

$$\frac{\left|\underline{E}^{(z)}(x,y)\right|}{\left|\underline{E}^{(w)}(u,v)\right|} = \frac{\left|d\phi\right|}{\left|dz\right|}\frac{\left|dw\right|}{\left|d\psi\right|} = \left|\frac{dw}{dz}\right|\left|\frac{d\phi}{d\psi}\right| = \left|\frac{dw}{dz}\right|$$

$$\stackrel{|\underline{E}^{(z)}(x,y)|}{|\underline{E}^{(w)}(u,v)|} = |f'(z)|$$

Note: The electric field vector in the *z* plane is also rotated from that in the *w* plane by $-\arg f'(z_0)$.

$$dz = dw \left(\frac{dz}{dw}\right) \implies \arg(dz) = \arg(dw) - \arg(f'(z))$$

19

Summary of Important Properties

Laplace Eq. \Leftrightarrow Laplace Eq.

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Dirichlet} \Leftrightarrow \mathsf{Dirichlet} \\ \mathsf{Neumann} \Leftrightarrow \mathsf{Neumann} \end{array}$

$$C_z = C_w$$

$$\frac{\rho_{sz}}{\rho_{sw}} = \left| f'(z) \right|$$

$$\frac{\left|\underline{E}^{(z)}(x,y)\right|}{\left|\underline{E}^{(w)}(u,v)\right|} = \left|f'(z)\right|$$

Example

Solve for the potential inside of a coax and the capacitance per unit length of a coax.



$$w = \ln(z)$$

$$w = u + iv = \ln(re^{i\theta}) = \ln(r) + i\theta$$

$$u = \ln(r)$$

$$v = \theta$$

$$v = \theta$$



Assume: $-\pi < \theta < \pi$



Assume: $-\pi < \theta < \pi$





or





(In the final answer we use ρ instead of r.)









y

Assume: $-\pi < \theta < \pi$





Solve for the potential inside and outside of a semi-infinite parallel-plate capacitor.

Find the surface charge density on the lower surface of the top plate.







The corresponding colored dots show the mapping along the top plate.

$$x = -e^{u} + u$$
$$y = v$$





This is an ideal infinite parallel-plate capacitor, whose solution is simple:

$$\psi(u,v) = \frac{v}{\pi}, \quad -\pi < v < \pi$$

Note: The <u>inside</u> of the parallel-plate capacitor in the *w* plane gets mapped to the <u>entire</u> *z* plane.



The solution is:

$$\phi(x,y) = \frac{1}{\pi}v(x,y)$$

where

$$e^{u}\cos(v) + u = x$$
$$e^{u}\sin(v) + v = y$$

For any given (x,y), these two equations have to be solved <u>numerically</u> to find (u,v).



The charge density in the *w* plane on the <u>lower</u> surface of the <u>top</u> plate is:

$$\rho_{sw} = \underline{D} \cdot \underline{\hat{n}} = \varepsilon \underline{E} \cdot \underline{\hat{n}} = \varepsilon \underline{E} \cdot \left(-\underline{\hat{v}}\right) = \varepsilon \left(-E_v\right) = \varepsilon \left(\frac{V}{h}\right) = \varepsilon \left(\frac{2}{2\pi}\right) = \varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{\pi}\right)$$

The charge density in the *z* plane is:

$$\rho_{sz} = \rho_{sw} \left| f'(z) \right|$$





Hence, we have on the bottom of the top plate:

$$\rho_{sz} = \varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{\pi}\right) \left| \frac{1}{-e^u + 1} \right|$$

where

 $x = -e^{u} + u$ (We must solve for *u* numerically, given a value of *x*.)

u < 0 (on bottom of top plate)



Rewriting in terms of *x*, we have:

Choose negative sign (a negative value of *u* corresponds to the lower surface of the top plate). See slide 28.

Near Edge (
$$u \approx 0$$
)
 $x = -e^{u} + u$
 \downarrow
 $x = -\left(1 + u + \frac{u^{2}}{2} + ...\right) + u$
 $\approx -1 - \frac{u^{2}}{2}$ ($u \rightarrow 0$)
 \downarrow
 $u \approx \pm \sqrt{-2(x+1)}$
 $u \approx -\sqrt{-2(x+1)}$



Hence, we have:

$$\rho_{sz} \approx \varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{\pi}\right) \left| \frac{1}{-s + \sqrt{2s}} \right|$$

or

$$\rho_{sz} \approx \varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{\pi}\right) \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2s} - s} \right|$$

Near Edge



Near the upper edge (on the lower surface) we then have:

$$\rho_{sz} \approx \varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\pi}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \sqrt{s/2}}\right), \quad s \to 0$$

Note the square-root singularity at the edge!

Scaling the Answer



Shifting the Answer



$$\Phi_0(x'',y'') = \Phi(x',y') = \Phi\left(x'' - \frac{2\pi}{h},y''\right)$$

where

$$\Phi(x',y') = \left(\frac{V_0}{2}\right) \phi\left(x'\left(\frac{2\pi}{h}\right),y'\left(\frac{2\pi}{h}\right)\right)$$

Example

Solve for the potential surrounding a metal strip, and the surface charge density on the strip.

$$\begin{array}{c|c} y \\ \varepsilon \\ x = -2 \end{array} \qquad \phi(x, y) \\ x = 2 \\ \phi = 1 \end{array} \qquad x$$

Note: The potential goes to $-\infty$ as $\rho \to \infty$.



The <u>outside</u> of the circle gets mapped into the <u>entire</u> z plane.

The top of the strip corresponds to $0 < \Theta < \pi$.

Note: The inside of the cylinder also gets mapped to the entire *z* plane. However, inside the cylinder we have $\psi \equiv 1$, so this gives us a trivial solution with no electric field and no charge on the strip.

$$z = w + \frac{1}{w}$$

$$R = 1$$

$$z = e^{i\Theta} + e^{-i\Theta}$$

$$z = 2\cos\Theta$$

$$x = 2\cos\Theta$$

$$y = 0$$



 ρ_l = equivalent line charge density on cylinder

 ρ_{s} = surface charge density on outside of cylinder

$$\rho_l = (2\pi R)_{R=1} \rho_s$$

Outside the circle, we have (from electrostatic theory):

 $\psi(u,v) = -\ln(R) + 1$ $R^{2} = u^{2} + v^{2}$

Note :
$$A_l = 1 \implies \rho_l = 2\pi \varepsilon$$
 (see below)

From electrostatics (with no ϕ variation):

$$\underline{\underline{E}} = \underline{\hat{R}} \left(\frac{\rho_l}{2\pi\varepsilon R} \right) = -\nabla \psi = \underline{\hat{R}} \left(\frac{A_1}{R} \right) \implies A_1 \equiv \frac{\rho_l}{2\pi\varepsilon}$$

Note: To be more general, we could use

$$\psi(u,v) = -A_1 \ln(R) + A_2$$

Changing the constant A_1 changes the total charge on the strip.

Changing the constant A_2 changes the voltage on the strip.

Gauss's law Gradient calculation

$$\begin{array}{c|c} y \\ \phi(x,y) = \phi(z) \\ \hline x = -2 \\ \phi = 1 \\ \end{array} \quad x$$

Hence, we have:

$$\phi(x,y) = 1 - \ln(R(x,y))$$



For any given (x,y), these two equations have to be solved numerically to find (R,Θ) .

$$R\cos\Theta + \frac{1}{R}\cos\Theta = x$$
$$R\sin\Theta - \frac{1}{R}\sin\Theta = y$$





$$\rho_l = \rho_{sw} \left(2\pi R \right)_{R=1} = 2\pi\varepsilon$$

We then have

$$\rho_{sz} = \rho_{sw} \left| f'(z) \right| = \varepsilon \left| f'(z) \right|$$

$$\rho_s = \varepsilon \left| f'(z) \right|$$

Next, use $z = w + \frac{1}{w}$

SO

$$\frac{dz}{dw} = 1 - \frac{1}{w^2}$$

SO

$$f'(z) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{w^2}\right)^{-1}$$

or

$$f'(z) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{R^2 e^{i2\Theta}}\right)^{-1} = \left(1 - e^{-i2\Theta}\right)^{-1} \qquad (R = 1)$$

On the circle:

$$f'(z) = (1 - e^{-i2\Theta})^{-1}$$

= $(1 - \cos(2\Theta) + i\sin(2\Theta))^{-1}$
= $((1 - \cos^2\Theta + \sin^2\Theta) + i(2\sin\Theta\cos\Theta))^{-1}$
= $(2\sin^2\Theta + i(2\sin\Theta\cos\Theta))^{-1}$
So
 $|f'(z)| = |(2\sin^2\Theta + i(2\sin\Theta\cos\Theta))^{-1}|$
= $|2\sin^2\Theta + i(2\sin\Theta\cos\Theta)|^{-1}$
= $\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{\sin^2\Theta(\sin^2\Theta + \cos^2\Theta)})^{-1}$
= $\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{\sin^2\Theta(\sin^2\Theta + \cos^2\Theta)})^{-1}$
= $\frac{1}{2}|\frac{1}{\sin\Theta}|$

$$\left|f'(z)\right| = \frac{1}{2} \left|\frac{1}{\sin\Theta}\right|$$

$$x = 2\cos\Theta$$

$$\Rightarrow \sin\Theta = \pm \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^2}$$

SO



Hence, we have

$$\rho_s = \varepsilon \frac{1/2}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^2}}$$

Note: The surface charge density goes to <u>infinity</u> as we approach the edges.

This result was first derived by Maxwell!



$$\rho_s \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}$$

Here *s* is the distance from the edge.

The strip now has a width of w.



Example (cont.) y $\rho_s(x) = \text{surface charge density on top of strip}$ $\rho_l = \text{equivalent line charge density on strip}$ W

The total line charge density is now assumed to be ρ_l [C/m].

$$\rho_s^{\text{tot}}(x) = \rho_l \left(\frac{2}{w}\right) \frac{1/\pi}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{2x}{w}\right)^2}}$$

 $\rho_l = \int^{w/2} \rho_s^{\text{tot}}(x) dx$

Note: The normalization of $1/\pi$ corresponds to a <u>unity</u> total line charge density:

$$\int_{-w/2}^{w/2} \frac{1/\pi}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{2x}{w}\right)^2}} \, dx = w/2$$

$$\left(\rho_{s}^{\text{tot}}\left(x\right) = \rho_{s}^{\text{top}}\left(x\right) + \rho_{s}^{\text{bot}}\left(x\right) = 2\rho_{s}^{\text{top}}\left(x\right) = 2\rho_{s}\left(x\right)\right)$$



$$J_{sz}^{\text{tot}} \approx I\left(\frac{2}{w}\right) \frac{1/\pi}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{2x}{w}\right)^2}}$$

Note: The increased current density near the edges causes increased conductor loss and susceptibility to dielectric breakdown.

(This ignores the effects of the ground plane and the substrate – accurate for narrow strips.)

Example

Find the capacitance between two wires (tubes).



J. W. Brown and R. V. Churchill, *Complex Variables and Applications*, 9th Ed., McGraw-Hill, 2013.



We therefore have $(C_z = C_w)$:

$$C_{z} = \frac{2\pi\varepsilon}{\ln\left(\frac{x_{2} - x_{1}}{x_{1}x_{2} - 1 - \sqrt{\left(x_{1}^{2} - 1\right)\left(x_{2}^{2} - 1\right)}}\right)} \quad [F/m]$$

where
$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \Delta x - R_2 \\ x_2 &= \Delta x + R_2 \end{aligned}$$

Symmetrical "twin lead" Transmission Line



Scale this geometry by 1/a.

(This does not change the capacitance.)

Symmetrical "twin lead" Transmission Line



Symmetrical "twin lead" transmission line





Define:
$$x \equiv \frac{h}{2a} \quad \left(\frac{h}{a} = 2x\right)$$

$$C = \frac{2\pi\varepsilon}{\ln\left(\frac{2}{(4x^2 - 1) - 1 - \sqrt{((2x - 1)^2 - 1)((2x + 1)^2 - 1)}}\right)}$$
[F/m]







$$C = \frac{2\pi\varepsilon}{\ln\left(\frac{1}{2x^2 - 1 - 2\sqrt{x^4 - x^2}}\right)} \quad [F/m]$$



$$C = \frac{2\pi\varepsilon}{\ln\left(\frac{1}{2x^2 - 1 - 2x\sqrt{x^2 - 1}}\right)} \quad [F/m]$$

Note:
$$\frac{1}{2x^2 - 1 - 2x\sqrt{x^2 - 1}} = 2x^2 - 1 + 2x\sqrt{x^2 - 1}$$

$$C = \frac{2\pi\varepsilon}{\ln\left(2x^2 - 1 + 2x\sqrt{x^2 - 1}\right)} \quad [F/m]$$

$$C = \frac{\pi \varepsilon}{\ln\left(\sqrt{2x^2 - 1 + 2x\sqrt{x^2 - 1}}\right)} \quad [F/m]$$

$$C = \frac{\pi\varepsilon}{\ln\left(\sqrt{2x^2 - 1 + 2x\sqrt{x^2 - 1}}\right)} \quad [F/m]$$

Note:
$$2x^2 - 1 + 2x\sqrt{x^2 - 1} = \left(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1}\right)^2$$

$$C = \frac{\pi \varepsilon}{\ln\left(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1}\right)} \quad [F/m]$$

Note:
$$\cosh^{-1}(x) = \ln(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1})$$

$$C = \frac{\pi \varepsilon}{\cosh^{-1}(x)} \quad [F/m]$$

Final Result



$$C = \frac{\pi \mathcal{E}}{\cosh^{-1}\left(\frac{h}{2a}\right)} \left[\text{F/m} \right]$$

Final Result



Note:
$$Z_0 = \sqrt{\frac{L}{C}} = \frac{\sqrt{LC}}{C} = \frac{\sqrt{\mu\varepsilon}}{C} = \frac{\sqrt{\mu\varepsilon}}{C} \sqrt{\varepsilon_r} \quad (\mu = \mu_0)$$
$$Z_0 = \frac{\eta_0}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\varepsilon_r}} \cosh^{-1}\left(\frac{h}{2a}\right) \left[\Omega\right]$$

$$\eta_0 = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0}{\varepsilon_0}}$$
$$\eta_0 \doteq 376.7303 \ \left[\Omega\right]$$



Conductor attenuation on stripline

694

IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON MICROWAVE THEORY AND TECHNIQUES, VOL. 39, NO. 4, APRIL 1991

An Exact TEM Calculation of Loss in a Stripline of Arbitrary Dimensions

Sujata Rawal and David R. Jackson, Member, IEEE

Abstract —An exact expression for the quasi-static conductive attenuation in a symmetrical stripline is derived. The formulation is based on a TEM assumption, which assumes that the skin depth is much smaller than the strip thickness. The conductive attenuation is related to the charge density on the conductive surfaces, which is determined by a conformal mapping originally proposed by Bates. An analytic extraction of a charge singularity term is used to obtain a numerically efficient calculation, in which no singular integrations appear.

I. INTRODUCTION

SYMMETRICAL stripline, shown in Fig. 1, is one of the most common transmission lines in use at microwave frequencies. The dominant mode on this structure is approximately TEM, provided the conductor losses are small. Because of this, a quasi-static analysis may be used to determine both the characteristic impedance Z_0 and the attenuation constant α [1]. An exact calculation of Z_0 using a conformal mapping method was originally given by Bates [2]. The total attenuation constant α is in general the sum of a conductive attenuation constant α_c and a dielectric attenuation constant α_d , with α_d given (for low loss) by the simple expression [1]

$$\alpha_d = k_0 \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon_r}}{2} \tan \delta_d \tag{1}$$



Fig. 1. Geometry of a symmetrical stripline.

the well-known quasi-static formula

$$\alpha_c = \frac{R_s}{2Z_0} \int_{\Gamma_T} \frac{\rho_s^2 d\ell}{Q^2}$$
(2)

where ρ_s is the surface charge density on all parts of the conductive system, Q is the total charge on the center conductor, and R_s is the surface resistance of the metal. The total contour Γ_T includes both the center conductor and the metal ground planes.

In order to determine the charge density ρ_s , the conformal mapping of Bates is used [2]. In this transformation, the



Fig. 2. The conformal mappings used to transform the upper half of the original stripline into a pair of coaxial cylinders. The mappings of the inner conductor (strip) and outer conductor (top ground plane) are indicated in each plane. (a) The z plane. (b) The p plane. (c) The s plane. (d) The q plane.

Conformal mapping of Bates:



$$s = -p^2 C_1 + C_0$$

$$s = P\left(\ln\left(\frac{q}{R}\right)\right)$$

sn = Jacobi elliptic function P = Weierstrass elliptic function

R. H. T. Bates, "The characteristic impedance of the shielded slab line," *IRE Trans. Microwave Theory and Techniques*, vol. MTT-4, pp. 28-33, Jan. 1956.

TABLE IComparison of Normalized Attenuation Constants for the Case t/b = 0.001

$\frac{\alpha_c b}{R_s \sqrt{\epsilon_r}}$ $\left(\frac{t}{b} = 0.001\right)$					
w/b	Exact Method	Narrow Strip Cohn Formula	% Error	Wide Strip Cohn Formula	% Error
0.124	2.4137 E-02	2.4215 E-02	0.32	1.7145 E-02	29.0
0.175	2.0178 E-02	2.0356 E-02	0.88	1.6174 E-02	19.8
0.247	1.7087 E-02	1.7432 E-02	2.02	1.5044 E-02	12.0
0.350	1.4658 E-02	1.5304 E-02	4.40	1.3781 E-02	5.98
0.501	1.2713 E-02	1.3927 E-02	9.55	1.2425 E-02	2.27
0.604	1.1868 E-02	1.3557 E-02	14.2	1.1725 E-02	1.20
0.733	1.1081 E-02	1.3468 E-02	21.5	1.1018 E-02	0.57
0.901	1.0332 E-02	1.3802 E-02	33.6	1.0307 E-02	0.24
1.125	9.6037 E-03	1.4918 E-02	55.3	9.5933 E-03	0.11
1.438	8.8855 E-03	1.7971 E-02	102.2	8.8791 E-03	0.079
1.909	8.1705 E-03	2.9618 E-02	262.5	8.1641 E-03	0.076

